IAEA International Conference on Non-Electric Applications of Nuclear Energy Oarai, 16 to 19 April 2007



A Comprehensive economic evaluation of integrated desalination systems, using fossil fuelled and nuclear energies, and including their environmental costs

> S. Nisan CEA, CEN Cadarache Simon.nisan@cea.fr





Background

- The environmental impact of desalination
- External costs and their internalisation
- Revised economic evaluation with

environmental costs



Environmental impact of desalination

- A future desalination strategy, based solely on the use of fossil fuelled systems is not sustainable. In addition to limited reserves, the water demands would continue to increase as population grows and standards of living increase.
 - Conservation measures (recycling, modernisation of water distribution networks, education for intelligent use of available water...) would reduce this demand to a certain extent (30 %) but would not be able to halt the dissemination of fossil fuelled plants because....
 - But, desalination is an energy intensive process...





- The most important impact of fossil fuel utilisation for desalination is the production of GHG and other toxic emissions. The exact amounts of these depend upon the type of fuel and the desalination process used.
 - It can be shown the for the Mediterranean region alone, one would require an additional desalting capacity of about 20.1 million m³/day in 2025.
 - This is a pessimistic scenario, assuming that only 2.5% of the total needs would be met by desalination. However,...



GHG and particle emissions by dessalination in the Mediterranean



At world level, these emisions will be multiplied by a factor of 2.5 or more!!

Influence of externalities on power and desalination costs

œ

 An externality ("external cost") arises when the social and economic activities of one group of persons have an impact on another group and when that impact is not accounted for or paid.

In the field of power generation, externalities are the cost imposed on the society and the environment by the adverse effect of all power generation systems on human health and the eco-system.

Impact pathways for energy and transport externalities

| Impact | Pollutant | Effect |
|--------------|--|--|
| Human Health | PM ₂₀ , O ₃ , SO ₂ , NO _x | Reduction life expectancy |
| -Mortality | As, Cd, Cr, Ni, Benzene compounds, diesel particles | Cancers |
| | Noise | Loss of amenity, health |
| | Accident risk | Fatalities from accidents at work or traffic |



Contd/..

| cen | Impact | Pollutant | Effect |
|-----|--------------|--|---|
| | Human Health | PM ₂₀ , O ₃ , SO _{2,} PM ₁₀ | Respiratory problems, restricted activity |
| | - morbidity | PM ₁₀ , CO | Congestive heart failure |
| | | PM ₁₀ | Chronic bronchitis, respiratory problems |
| | | Hg, Pb | Decreased IQ, neurotoxicity |

CADARACHE



| œ | Impact | Pollutant | Effect |
|---|-----------------------|---|--|
| | Building materials | SO _{2,} Acid deposition | Ageing of steel, zinc, limestone, mortars, paints |
| | Crops | O ₃ , SO ₂ , NO _{x,} Acid deposition | Crop yields or destruction |
| | Global warming | CO ₂ , CH ₄ , N ₂ O _, N, S | Chronic bronchitis, respiratory problems |
| | Eco-systems | N, Acid deposition | Increased acidity, loss of usable land |

CADARACHE

External costs of electricity production in the EU(cents/kW.h)

| œ | Coal and lignite | Oil | Gas (CC) | PWR | Biomass | Hydro | S/PV | Wind |
|---|------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|------|---------------------|
| | 2.5 to 19 | 3.8 to 14 | 1.3 to 7.6 | 0.25 to 0.64 | 0.64 to 6.4 | 0.038 to 0.89 | 0.76 | 0.063 to 0.32 |

Eco tax of 19 €/t CO2; 1€ = 1.2695 \$; now 34€/t 1 year of life lost = 50 000 €

External costs in Germany



Internalisation in Germany



Economic Evaluation

- 4 Nuclear reactors : PWR900, AP600, GT-MHR, PBMR
 - 3 Fossil energy based systems: Coal (CFB-900), CC-900, OIL-500; with performances foreseen for 2015
 - Two desalination processes; MED, RO with nominal capacities of 120 000 m³/day.
 - DEEP-3, with relevant modifications by CEA
 - Costs from reliable sources except for the HTRs (from the developers); all updated to 2006 US \$
 - Comparison with renewable energies later. (Oarai)



Basic assumptions of calculation





Power costs with/without internalisation of externalities in France and Germany (8% disc rate)

| | Units | CFB- 900 | CC-900 | Oil-500 | PWR- 900 | AP- 600 | GT- MHR | PBMR |
|---------------|------------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|------------|------------|-------|
| Fuel price | \$/bbl (\$/t) | (65) | 60 (11 Mbtu) | 60 | | | | |
| Total | Cent/ kW.h | 5.922 | 11.806 | 14.107 | 4.003 | 4.446 | 3.099 | 3.237 |
| Total with E1 | Cent/ kW.h | 9.722 | 13.106 | 20.507 | 4.253 | 4.696 | 3.349 | 3.487 |
| Total with E2 | Cent/ kW.h | 18.622 | 16.906 | 28.107 | 4.383 | 4.826 | 3.479 | 3.617 |

Current fossil fuel prices; E1, E2: lowest and highest calculated external costs in France and Germany

MED water costs with/without internalisation of externalities in France and Germany; 8 % discount rate

| | CFB-900 | CC-900 (Oil-500) | PWR-900 | AP-600 | GT-MHR | PBMR |
|---|---------|-----------------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| Water costs (\$/m ³) | 0.9487 | 1.3777 (1.5713) | 0.84505 | 0.8795 | 0.6418 | 0.6942 |
| Δ (%) | | +45 (+66) | -10 | -7 | -32* | -27* |
| Water costs E1 | 1.2378 | 1.4766 (2.0581) | 0.86447 | 0.8989 | 0.6490 | 0.7021 |
| Δ E1(%) | | +19 (+66) | -30 | -27 | -48 | -43 |
| Water costs E2 | 1.9147 | 1.7656 (2.6361) | 0.87458 | 0.9090 | 0.6528 | 0.7062 |
| Δ E2(%) | | - <mark>7.8</mark> (+38) | -54 | -52 | -65 | -63 |

CADARACHE

RO water costs *with/without Internalisation of* externalities in France and Germany;8 % discount rate

| | CFB-900 | CC-900 (Oil-500) | PWR-900 | AP-600 | GT-MHR | PBMR |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------|--------|------|
| Water costs (\$/m ³) | 0.6928 | 0.8893 (0.9539) | 0.63084 | 0.6451 | | |
| Δ (%) | | +28 (+38) | -8.9 | -6.9 | | |
| Water costs E1 | 0.8140 | 0.93276 (1.1581) | 0.63891 | 0.6532 | | |
| Δ E1(%) | | +14.6 (+42) | -22 | -20 | | |
| Water costs E2 | 1.0979 | 1.05976 (1.4005) | 0.6431 | 0.6574 | | |
| Δ E2(%) | | -3 (+28) | -41 | -40 | | |

CADARACHE

Comparison of MED and RO water costs (\$/m³)

| | CFB-900 | CC-900 (Oil-500) | PWR-900 | AP-600 | GT-MHR | PBMR |
|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|
| MED | 0.9487 | 1.3777 (1.5713) | 0.84505 | 0.8795 | 0.6418 | 0.6942 |
| RO | 0.6928 | 0.8893 (0.9593) | 0.63084 | 0.6451 | - | - |
| Δ (%) | -27 | -35 (-39) | -25 | -27 | | |
| MED/E2 | 1.9147 | 1.7656 (2.6361) | 0.87458 | 0.9090 | 0.6528 | 0.7062 |
| RO/E2 | 1.0979 | 1.05976 (1.4005) | 0.6431 | 0.6574 | | |
| ∆ E2(%) | -42 | -40 (-47) | -26 | -28 | | |

BUT.....

- Residual salinity of water from MED (MSF) ≤ 25 ppm
 - Residual salinity of water from RO ~ 300 à 500 ppm
 - Salinity of 25 ppm can also be achieved by a twostage RO process (Ashkelon), but the cost would be higher



- Modern tendancy is : hybrid MED/RO; MSF/RO systems.
 - Their cost would be intermediate between MED and RO



Conclusions

œ

 Integrated seawater desalination systems are likely to be deployed intensively in the future in view of the large water and electricity shortages in many regions of the world

• A future desalination strategy, based on the utilisation of fossil fuelled systems is not sustainable because of the considerable amounts of GHG rejected. At the moment, the only solutions would appear to be nuclear energy and wind energy.

 For large scale desalination, only nuclear energy is competitive





œ

 For a discount rate of 8%, current fossil fuel prices, <u>without external costs</u>:

- Compared to the CFB-900 +MED plant, the desalination costs by PWR+ MED and AP-600 +MED system are 7 to 10 % lower.
- Those with the CC-600 +MED and Oil-500 +MED are 45 and 66 % higher.
- In the same conditions, the next generation HTRs such as the PBMR and GT-MHR, utilising "free" waste heat with MED, lead 27 to 32 % lower desalination cost.



Contd/..

- Desalination costs with RO, for all systems, show the same trends. They are in general 25 to 40% lower than corresponding MED costs. But..
- Internalising externalities for all systems further reduces nuclear desalination costs by 27 to 65 %.



Monetary evaluation methodology



| Health end-point | Recommended central unit values in € price year 2000 |
|--|---|
| Value of a prevented Fatality | 1,000,000 |
| Year of Life Lost | 50,000 / year lost |
| Hospital admissions | 2,000 / admission |
| Emergency Room Visit for respiratory illness | 670 / visit |
| General Practicioner visits: | |
| Asthma | 53 / consultation |
| Lower respiratory symptoms | 75 / consultation |
| Respiratory symptoms in asthmatics: | |
| Adults | 130 / event |
| Children | 280 / event |
| Respiratory medication use – adults and | 1 / day |
| children | |
| Restricted activity days | 130 / day |
| Cough day | 38 / day |
| Symptom day | 38 / day |
| Work loss day | 82 / day |
| Minor restricted activity day | 38 / day |
| Chronic bronchitis | 190,000 / case |



DER/SESI/LESA